

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,987 10/30/2003		10/30/2003	Henry Milan	16597	7868
4859	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MACMILL	AN SOE	BANSKI & TODD	GUSHI, ROSS N		
ONE MARI	TIME PL	AZA FOURTH FLO	OR		
720 WATER STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO, OH 43604-1619				2833	:

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/696,987	MILAN, HENRY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ross N. Gushi	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,14 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/696,987

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Selker.

Regarding claims 1, Anderson discloses an electronic housing device comprising a housing 108 for enclosing electronics and having an opening 118 formed therein; a first electrical connector 114 supported on said housing and being accessible; an extendable housing portion 116 mounted in said opening and being selectively moveable into and out of said housing; and a second electrical connector 114' mounted on said extendable housing portion, said second electrical connector being accessible when said extendable housing portion is extended out of said housing and not being accessible when said extendable housing portion is retracted into said housing (see figures 3 and 4).

Anderson does not show the line cord. Selker discloses a device including the line cord 26. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to include a line cord on the Anderson device as taught in Selker. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to supply power to the device as taught in Selker.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,987

Art Unit: 2833

Per claim 10 Anderson discloses at least one hinge connecting said extendable housing portion to said housing for swinging said extensible housing portion into and out of said opening.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane et al. ("Crane") in view of Strazzabosco.

Regarding claim 1, Crane discloses an electronic housing device comprising: a housing for enclosing electronics, a line cord 20 attached at one end to said housing and having an opposite end adapted to be connected to a source of electrical power; a first electrical connector 42 supported on said housing and being accessible.

Crane does not disclose and extendable housing. Strazzabosco discloses an extendable housing portion 44 mounted in an opening and being selectively moveable into and out of a housing (12, 13) and a second electrical connector mounted on said extendable housing portion, said second electrical connector being accessible when said extendable housing portion is extended out of said housing and not being accessible when said extendable housing portion is retracted into said housing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to replace the Crane sockets 66 with retractable sockets as taught in Strazzabosco. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to provide an outlet strip which was adjustable to accommodate a larger or lesser number of plugs as taught in Strazzabosco (col. 1, line 10).

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 4, Strazzabosco discloses two prong sockets and Crane discloses standard three prong sockets. At the time of the invention, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/696,987

Art Unit: 2833

have been obvious to make the retractable outlets (as taught by Strazzabosco) standard three prong outlets (hot, neutral, ground) as taught in Crane. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been safety, as is well known in the art.

Per claim 6, Strazzabosco discloses stops 34.

Per claim 8, said first electrical connector is mounted on an exposed end of said extensible housing portion.

Per claim 9, said Crane line cord, said first electrical connector and said second electrical connector are connected to a surge protection device.

Claims 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 19 are rejected for the reasons pertaining to claims 1-4, 6, 8, and 9.

Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane in view of Strazzabosco as in claims 1 and 11 in view of Sharples. Strazzabosco does not disclose springs. Sharples discloses a spring mechanism 96 to urge an extendable housing outward. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to include a spring mechanism to urge the extendable housing out, as taught in Sharples.' The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to assist in moving the socket outward as taught in Sharples.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 14, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not suggest

Application/Control Number: 10/696,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 2833

the devices as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including the complimentary guide rails as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

ROSS GUSTA PRIMARY EXAMINE

hou to